

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2016) KLR VOL 6 PART 388 pp. 2923 - 3174**

**JUNE 2016**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### ***JUNE CONTD.***

7. B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital Management Board p. 2931
8. Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989
9. John Shoy International Ltd. v. Federal Housing Authority p. 3037
10. Lawal v. State p. 3059
11. Okashetu v. State p. 3083
12. Spies v. Oni p. 3113

ACTIONS - Bona fide claim of right - Condition - For a claim to qualify as bona fide claim of right - Such claim must be made in good faith - And without fraud or deceit (H3) *Spies v. Oni* p. 3113

ACTIONS - Bona fide claim of right - Proof - Respondent having been found to be in possession - Is presumed to hold a better title - As appellant failed to establish his claim of right (H4) *Spies v. Oni* p. 3113

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Meaning - It is the legal capacity of a party to institute action in court - And a plaintiff assuming locus must have sufficient interest in the suit (H1) *B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B.* p. 2931

ACTIONS - Substantive suit - Objection - Determination - Failure of trial Judge to consider the preliminary objection before the substantive suit - Occasioned a miscarriage of justice (H8) *Garba v. Mohammed* p. 2989

APPEALS - Filing - Multiple notices - Provided that each notice of appeal was filed within time - And appellant specifically chose particular notice to rely upon - There was no abuse of court process (H2) *Garba v. Mohammed* p. 2989

APPEALS - Grounds - Validity - Ground 6 and issue 6 predicated thereon is incompetent - As there is no application by appellant - Challenging the striking out of the name of the bank (H12) *B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B.* p. 2931

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Where subject matter of appeal is within jurisdiction of appellate court - Its jurisdiction is not ousted because it lacks power to grant some of the reliefs claimed (H1) *Garba v. Mohammed* p. 2989

APPEALS - Objection - Brief - Number of pages - Objection to the number of pages contained in brief of argument - Can never be an issue touching on competence of the appeal (H3) *Garba v. Mohammed* p. 2989

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Interpretation - 1999 Constitution s. 251(1)(a) & (p) - Application of - For the provision to apply in a suit - Such must involve administration and management of Federal Agency (H3) John Shoy Ltd. v. Fed. H. A. p. 3037

CONTRACTS - Breach - Locus standi - Respondent having demonstrated sufficient locus cannot be referred to as stranger - Hence it can seek for redress for breach of contract against appellant (H3) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

CONTRACTS - Obligation - Performance of - Appellant having taken benefit under the contract - Cannot avoid its obligations therein on the ground that the contract is void (H4) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

CONTRACTS - Privity of - Only parties to a contract can maintain action on it - As stranger to a contract can neither sue nor be sued thereon - Even if the contract is made for his benefit (H2) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

CONTRACTS - Terms - Liability - Agency - Although agent of a disclosed principal is not personally liable - But appellant failed to prove existence of any such relationship as to avoid liability (H9) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

COURT PROCESSES - Service of - Issuance and service of process is fundamental to exercise of jurisdiction - As any defect would amount to breach of a party's right to fair hearing (H7) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

COURTS - Federal High Court - Jurisdiction - Expansion of - National Assembly can by the Constitution expand its jurisdiction As it did in preelection matters - Which can be exclusive - Or exercised concurrently with other courts (H4) Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989

COURTS - Issues - Determination of - Court must resolve all issues submitted to it for adjudication except in clearest cases - As failure to

**2926 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 6 KLR**

do so would amount to denial of fair hearing (H7) Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989

CRIMINAL LAW - Mens rea - Distinctive nature - Criminal liability in each offence is separate from any other offence - Hence lack of mens rea in one offence cannot defeat mens rea in another (H6) Spies v. Oni p. 3113

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conspiracy - Proof - Prosecution is to prove agreement of two or more persons - To do an illegal act - Or legal act by an illegal means (H2) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Doubt - Entitlement to - For accused to be entitled to the benefit of doubt - It must be a genuine one - Arising from some evidence before court (H2) Lawal v. State p. 3059

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Illegal possession of firearms - Proof - Prosecution must inter alia prove that accused was found in possession of firearms - And that he had no licence to possess same (H4) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Kidnapping - Ingredients - Proof of - Prosecution must prove that victim was taken away by accused against his consent - And without lawful excuse (H3) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Means of - Prosecution must by credible evidence prove ingredients of the offence against accused - Through direct evidence - Circumstantial evidence - And confession (H1) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Onus of - Is on prosecution to establish guilt of accused beyond reasonable doubt - And accused is not to prove his innocence before court (H1) Lawal v. State p. 3059

DAMAGES - Special damages - Proof - Evidence led clearly established respondent's entitlement - As it pleaded and proved value of items paid for but were not delivered by appellant (H10) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

## **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 6 KLR 2927**

ELECTIONS - Preelection matters - Jurisdiction - Plaintiff's complaints bring the claim within Electoral Act 2010 ss. 31(5) & 87(9) - Which confer concurrent jurisdiction on FHC and State HC (H6) Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989

EVIDENCE - - Admissibility - Inadmissibility of statement made at pendency of proceedings s. 91 (3) EA - Is subject to facts of each case - Documents in issue were rightly relied upon here - In awarding damages (H11) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Appellant's statement having not been retracted - Remains admissible evidence - Which trial court rightly acted upon (H4) Lawal v. State p. 3059

EVIDENCE - Confession - Attestation by superior police officer - The tradition is not part of Judge's rule - But a rule of practice developed by police - To give credence to confessional statement of accused (H7) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

EVIDENCE - Confession - Retraction - Mere denial by accused does not render confession inadmissible - Although court cannot act on confession - Without testing the veracity and correctness of same (H6) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

EVIDENCE - Confession - Validity - Where confession is direct and positive - And court is satisfied of its truth - The same is sufficient to sustain conviction (H5) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

EVIDENCE - Confession - Validity of - Once court is satisfied with its truth - Confessional statement is sufficient to ground a conviction without corroboration (H5) Lawal v. State p. 3059

EVIDENCE - Contradiction - Weight - For contradiction to count - It must be substantial to main issue in question - Before it could create some doubt in the mind of court (H8) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

**2928 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 6 KLR**

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Learned trial Judge carefully considered the defence of appellant - Before arriving at his final decision on the matter (H6) Lawal v. State p. 3059

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Distinguishing - Criminal trespass - Nwakire & Salvamayagam cases - Facts from the two cases differ from present appeal - In which there were no conflicting claims to the premises (H6) Spies v. Oni p. 3113

JURISDICTION - Admiralty - Contract - Breach - The claim here is for special and general damages - As such the fact of conveying the goods by sea - Does not bring the claim within admiralty jurisdiction of FHC (H5) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

JURISDICTION - Determination of - Jurisdiction are conferred by the Constitution - And it is the claim presented by the plaintiff - That determines jurisdiction of the Court (H1) John Shoy Ltd. v. Fed. H. A. p. 3037

JURISDICTION - Of Federal High Court - Basis - Judicial precedents - Distinguishing - Plaintiff's claim being one for recovery of debt - Does not relate to executive action of FG or its agency - Hence it is not within exclusive jurisdiction of FHC (H2) John Shoy Ltd. v. Fed. H. A. p. 3037

JURISDICTION - Of Federal High Court - Extent of - The court is not limited by its exclusive jurisdiction in s. 251(1)(a) to (r) - As it can exercise other concurrent jurisdiction with State High Courts (H5) Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989

LOCUS STANDI - Legislation - Enactment of - Existing right of a party when new law is passed - Transferring jurisdiction of a court to another is not lost - Where proceedings were on going prior to the enactment (H6) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

ROBBERY - Attempted robbery - Ingredients - For an act to consti-

**INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 6 KLR 2929**

tute the offence - Accused must with intent to steal - Assault the victim in order to obtain the thing intended to be stolen (H3) Lawal v. State p. 3059

TRESPASS - Criminal trespass - Proof - Prosecution must prove an offence or annoyance of the occupant - And that any claim of right is a mere cloak to cover real intent (H2) Spies v. Oni p. 3113

TRESPASS - Criminal trespass - Use of self help or bona fide claim of right by appellant - Cannot disturb the decision that he committed criminal trespass - By his second entry into respondent's compound (H7) Spies v. Oni p. 3113

TRESPASS - Criminal trespass - Was properly found - Respondent being in possession can claim against other interests - Hence the 2<sup>nd</sup> entry by appellant was criminal - And the resort to self help annoyed respondent (H1) Spies v. Oni p. 3113

WRIT OF SUMMONS - Issuance of - Leave - Anambra HC Rules 1988 O. 5 r. 18 - Leave is not required for service of writ outside jurisdiction - Save as provided in O. 7 r. 19(2) on defendant outside Nigeria (H8) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended), s. 36(5)  
 Lawal v. State p. 3059, s. 65(2)(b), 251 Garba v. Mohammed p.  
 2989, ss. 241, 242 B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital  
 M.B. p. 2931, ss. 251(1)(a) and (p), 272 John Shoy Ltd. v. Fed. H.  
 A. p. 3037

Court of Appeal (Fast Track) Practice Direction 2014, para. 8(2)(b)  
 Garba v. Mohammed p. 2989

Court of Appeal Act, s. 24(2)(a) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Ortho-  
 paedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

Court of Appeal Rules 2011, O. 10 r. 1, O.18 r. 6(a) Garba v.  
 Mohammed p. 2989

Criminal Code, s. 23 Spies v. Oni p. 3113

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), ss. 31(5)(6), 87, 141 Garba v.  
 Mohammed p. 2989

Evidence Act 2011, s. 135(1)(2) Okashetu v. State p. 3083

Evidence Act Cap. 112 LFN 1990, s. 138(1) Lawal v. State p. 3059

Federal High Court Act (as amended by Decree No.60 of 1991), s.  
 7(a)-(u) B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p.  
 2931

Federal High Court Civil Procedure Rules 2009, O. 29 r. 1 Garba v.  
 Mohammed p. 2989

High Court Laws Cap. 61 Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1953, s. 22(2)  
 B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

High Court Rules of Anambra State 1988, O. 5 r. 18, O. 7 r. 19(2)  
 B.B. Apugo & Sons Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

Interpretation Act Cap. 192 LFN 1990, s. 6(1) B.B. Apugo & Sons  
 Ltd. v. Orthopaedic Hospital M.B. p. 2931

Penal Code Law, ss. 59, 60, 287, 342, 348 Spies v. Oni p. 3113

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990,  
 ss. 2(2) (b), 5(b) Lawal v. State p. 3059